
Council

OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of Council held in the Council Chambers, 15 Forth Street, Invercargill on Wednesday, 27 April 2016 at 1pm.

PRESENT

Mayor
Deputy Mayor
Councillors

Mayor Gary Tong
Paul Duffy
Lyll Bailey
Stuart Baird
Brian Dillon
Rodney Dobson
John Douglas
Bruce Ford
George Harpur
Julie Keast
Ebel Kremer
Gavin Macpherson
Neil Paterson

IN ATTENDANCE

Chief Executive Officer - Steve Ruru, Group Manager Environmental Services – Bruce Halligan, Group Manager Services and Assets – Ian Marshall, Group Manager Community and Futures – Rex Capil, Chief Financial Officer – Anne Robson, Communications Manager – Louise Pagan, Team Leader Governance – Chris Dolan and Committee Advisor – Fiona Dunlop.
Muriel Johnstone – Te Ao Marama representative

1 Apologies

There were no apologies.

2 Leave of absence

There were no requests for leave of absence had been received.

3 Conflict of Interest

Councillor Duffy declared a conflict of interest in relation to report 7.1 Key issues and funding requests for deliberation on the Annual Plan 2016/2017 attachments g and h.

Councillor Ford declared a conflict of interest in relation to report 7.1 Key issues and funding requests for deliberation on the Annual Plan 2016/2017 attachment d submitter objection to car parking in Argyle Street on Stewart Island.

4 Public Forum

Ruth Shaw representing Fiordland Sewerage Options Inc. addressed the meeting regarding the Te Anau Sewerage Discharge Project. Mrs Shaw read from notes on behalf of Regan Smith which are attached to the minutes as appendix 1. She then read from her notes which are appended to the minutes as appendix 2.

5 Extraordinary/Urgent Items

There were no Extraordinary/Urgent items.

6 Confirmation of Council Minutes

Resolution

Moved Cr Dillon, seconded Cr Keast **and resolved:**

That Council confirms the minutes of Council held on 9 March 2016 as a true and correct record.

Reports - Policy and Strategy

7.1 Key issues and funding requests for deliberation on the Annual Plan 2016/2017

Record No: R/16/4/4760

Susan Cuthbert – Strategy and Policy Manager was in attendance for this item.

Miss Cuthbert advised that the report provided for decisions on the key issues and funding requests as a result of the Annual Plan submissions process.

Council noted that officers had prepared a consultation document for the Annual Plan 2016/2017 that was adopted by Council on 27 January 2016 along with other information to support the consultation document. Public consultation occurred from 27 January 2016 to 29 February 2016 and 263 submissions were received. In addition, informal feedback was received via social media. Oral submissions were heard on 7 April 2016 and numerous submitters spoke to their submissions.

The meeting deliberated on the key issues and funding requests in the draft 2016/2017 Annual Plan on the series of issues and options reports which had been prepared to assist Councillors in their decision-making.

Note: - The “numbering” of recommendations t – w was amended due to a formatting error. The change is indicated with strikethrough.

Moved Mayor Tong, seconded Cr Kremer **recommendations a to f and resolved.**

Councillors Baird and Dobson requested that their dissenting votes be recorded.

Moved Cr Dobson, seconded Cr Macpherson **recommendation g and resolved.**

Moved Cr Ford, seconded Cr Keast **recommendation h and resolved.**

Moved Cr Douglas, seconded Cr Macpherson **recommendations i to l and resolved.**

Moved Cr Kremer, seconded Deputy Mayor Duffy **recommendations m and n and resolved.**

Moved Cr Dillon, seconded Cr Douglas **recommendations o and p and resolved.**

(Councillor Duffy withdrew from the table due to a conflict of interest.)

Moved Cr Ford, seconded Cr Keast **recommendations q and r and resolved.**

(Councillor Duffy returned to the table.)

Moved Cr Dillon, seconded Cr Kremer **recommendation s and resolved.**

Moved Deputy Mayor Duffy, seconded Cr Paterson **recommendation t(i) with a change as indicated and resolved.**

Moved Cr Paterson, seconded Cr Douglas **recommendation u(i) and resolved.**

Moved Cr Dobson, seconded Cr Harpur **recommendation u(ii) and resolved.**

(Councillor Ford left the meeting at 2.59pm.)

Moved Cr Dobson, seconded Deputy Mayor Duffy **recommendation u(iii) to u(vi) and resolved.**

Moved Cr Harpur, seconded Cr Macpherson **recommendation u(vii) to u(ix) and resolved.**

Moved Cr Dillon, seconded Deputy Mayor Duffy **recommendation v and resolved.**

Resolution

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Key issues and funding requests for deliberation on the Annual Plan 2016/2017” dated 20 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Attachment A - Local Roads - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/17 to include a project to seal the Catlins Road which includes the Slope Point Road to the first carpark and the road leading to the Waipapa Point Lighthouse.**
- e) **Attachment A - Local Roads - Agrees not to include project work to repair the Colac Bay Foreshore Road in the Annual Plan 2016/2017.**
- f) **Attachment A - Local Roads - Agrees not to include projects to seal Roslyn Road (Roslyn Bush) and Helena and Mersey Streets (Fortrose) in the Annual Plan 2016/2017.**
- g) **Attachment B - Heritage - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 to include a \$5.00 including GST per household increase to the Regional Heritage rate - a total of \$77,095 for the District.**
- h) **Attachment C - Roading Rate Model - Endorses the Roading Rate Model methodology used to calculate outcomes in the Consultation Document for inclusion in the Annual Plan 2016/2017.**
- i) **Attachment D - District and Local Issues and comments - Agrees to remove the Edendale Community Centre project from the Annual Plan budget.**
- j) **Attachment D - District and Local Issues and comments - Agrees to forward submitters’ project suggestions to the relevant Community Board or Community Development Area Subcommittee for their follow up and investigation if considered viable.**
- k) **Attachment D - District and Local Issues and comments - Agrees to forward submitter objection to car parking in Argyle Street on Stewart Island to the Stewart Island/Rakiura Community Board for discussion.**
- l) **Attachment D - District and Local Issues and comments - Agrees to work with Public Health South to consider how a health in all policies’ approach may be developed.**

- m) Attachment E - Te Anau Wastewater Discharge project - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 on the basis that it will not include the costs of investigating alternative options for the Te Anau Wastewater Scheme at this stage on the basis that the Te Anau Wastewater Project Committee is yet to make a recommendation to Council.
- n) Attachment E - Te Anau Wastewater Discharge project - Determines that should the Te Anau Wastewater Project Committee make a recommendation then this will be considered as unbudgeted expenditure at that time.
- o) Attachment F - Around the Mountains Cycle Trail - Agrees to the Annual Plan 2016/2017 being prepared to include the Around the Mountains Cycle Trail project as previously planned.
- p) Attachment F - Around the Mountains Cycle Trail - Agrees to complete the Deloitte review and wait for the decision from the Environment Court prior to making any decisions on the future of the project and its funding.
- q) Attachment G - Curio Bay project - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 to include the Curio Bay project as currently scoped.
- r) Attachment G - Curio Bay project - Consults with local ratepayers about local connection as part of the Long Term Plan 2018-2028.
- s) Attachment H - Haast Hollyford Road - Asks staff to proceed with developing a 'high level' community consultation process that will enable the Council to develop an understanding of the range of community views that might exist in relation to the concept of developing a Haast Hollyford road via a public private partnership.
- t) Attachment I - Rating and Finance - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 on the following basis:
 - u)i) ~~Determines whether to grant funds to the~~ to grant a one off payment of \$5,000 for Gore Kids Hub to be funded from the three wards that bound the Gore District.
- uv) Attachment I - Rating and Finance - Agrees to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 on the following basis:
 - i) That the Mabel Bush Hall Rate will be increased from \$28.64 to \$38.64 per unit (GST inclusive).
 - ii) That the Riverton Pool rate will be increased from \$20.68 to \$23.68 per unit (GST inclusive).
 - iii) That an extra \$30,000 is included to cover the costs of implementing the next phase of the community futures project to be funded via the general rate.
 - iv) That an extra \$32,500 is included to cover 2016 electoral expenses to be funded via the district operations reserve.
 - v) That Venture Southland's revised budgets will be consolidated into Council's forecasts for the 2016/2017 Annual Plan.
 - vi) That the Riverton Havelock Street kerb and channel project will be included in the NZTA 2016/2017 programme of work and the local

share component be obtained.

- vii) Agree to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 on the basis that the Uniform Targeted Rate will be set at a level agreed at the Council meeting on 27 April 2016.
- viii) Agree to staff preparing the Annual Plan 2016/2017 on the basis that the overall District Rate increase will be set at a level agreed at the Council meeting on 27 April 2016.
- ix) That a number of projects will be carried forward from the 2015/2016 financial year as follows:

Area	Activity	Project Name	Amount
District	District Leadership	Digitisation Back Capture	\$190,000
District	District Leadership	Core System Review	\$750,000
District	Water	District Wide Leak Detection	\$50,000
District	Water	Project Management and Design for District Monitoring Project	\$17,000
District	Water	Various	\$8,948
District	Water	Various	\$4,982
District	Wastewater	CCTV	\$50,000
District	Wastewater	Various	\$54,300
District	Wastewater	Various	\$9,357
District	Regulatory Services	District Plan	\$75,000
District	Regulatory Services	District Plan	\$100,000
District	Roads and Footpaths	Various	\$686,153
Dipton	District Leadership	Information Board	-\$1,000
Limehills	Stormwater	Mechanical Cleaning	\$11,695
Lumsden	Community Services	Upgrade Railway Heritage Area	-\$25,625
Nightcaps	Community Services	Interior Painting	-\$14,666
District	Wastewater	Treatment Upgrade Stage 1	\$400,000
District	Wastewater	Treatment Upgrade	\$300,000
Riverton/Aparima	Roads and Footpaths	Widenings	\$105,884
Riverton/Aparima	Roads and Footpaths	Kerb work on Havelock Street	\$130,000
Riverton/Aparima	Roads and Footpaths	Streetlight Renewal	\$5,000
Stewart Island/Rakiura	Solid Waste	replace 8 plastic rubbish bins with stainless	\$13,000

		steel	
Stewart Island	Stormwater	Rectify Main Road flooding at DOC	\$40,000
District	Water	Contact Tanks	\$66,430
District	Wastewater	Lateral Replacements	\$124,761
District	Water	Replace reservoir tanks ahead of schedule (Kakapo)	\$85,000
District	Community Services	Curio Bay Upgrade	\$815,000
Tuatapere	Roads and Footpaths	General Maintenance	\$5,000
Orawia	Community Services	Reroof	\$21,200
District	Water	Increase Storage	\$22,303
Otautau	Community Services	Upgrade Camping Ground	\$220,000
Winton	Roads and Footpaths	Footpath reclamation & lichen Spray	\$8,000
Winton	Community Services	Levelling Plots and resew grass	\$15,000
Winton	Community Services	Skate Park upgrade	\$50,000
Total			\$4,392,722

- w)v) Notes that submissions that relate to operational matters or renewal works, requests for more information for reviews and changes to programmes or projects, have been provided to relevant officers and will be considered alongside existing work programmes and actioned as appropriate.

Reports - Operational Matters

8.1 Dog Registration Fees for 2016/2017

Record No: R/16/3/3525

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environmental Services and Michael Sarfaiti Environmental Health Manager were in attendance for this item.

Mr Halligan advised that the purpose of the report was to set the dog control fees for the 2016/2017 year.

Council noted that the dog control fees must be prescribed by resolution. It was proposed to continue the current fees for the 2016/2017 year with the addition of a proposed new fee concerning the withdrawal of infringements.

During discussion it was agreed to add “plus” to “late registration – all dogs”

Resolution

Moved Cr Dillon, seconded Cr Bailey recommendations a – c, d with an addition (as indicated) and e and resolved:

That the Council:

- a) Receives the report titled “Dog Registration Fees for 2016/2017” dated 14 April 2016.
- b) Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.
- c) Determines that it has complied with the decision making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.
- d) Sets the dog control fees for the 2016/2017 registration year as follows:

DOG CONTROL FEE SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE 1 JULY 2016 (All fees GST inclusive)

Registration - Dog (non-working)	\$30.00
A dog impounded by SDC released to a SDC authorised rehoming provider for either fostering or rehoming (initial registration only)	Free
Registration - Working Dog	\$30.00
Late Registration - All Dogs	<u>Plus</u> 50%
Dog Control	
Dog hearing lodgement fee	\$100.00
Multiple dog licence application fee	\$50.00
Sale of collars	\$9.00
Withdrawal of infringement fee, per infringement	\$30.00
Microchipping	
Microchipping of a dog registered by SDC	Free
Commercial breeders that require more than four pups to be microchipped per registration year, per dog, for the fifth and subsequent dog	\$30.00
Dog Impounding Fees	
Impounding of dogs	\$100.00
Sustenance of impounded dog per day or part thereof	\$15.00
Euthanasia	\$40.00

- e) Publicly notifies the fees in the Southland Times on Saturday, 4 June 2016 and Saturday, 11 June 2016.

8.2 Food Act 2014 Delegations

Record No: R/16/3/3303

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environmental Services and Michael Sarfaiti Environmental Health Manager were in attendance for this item.

Mr Halligan advised that the purpose of the report was to make delegations to officers under the Food Act 2014.

Council noted that the Food Act 2014 came into force on 1 March 2016. The Act allows for Council to delegate certain functions and duties under the Act to the Chief Executive, who then may in turn sub-delegate to officers.

Resolution

Moved Cr Kremer, seconded Cr Keast **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Food Act 2014 Delegations” dated 4 March 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Delegates all of its responsibilities, duties and powers under the Food Act 2014 and Regulations made under it to the Chief Executive; except the following:**
 - (i) **Section 173 (2) Agreement to combine functions with other territorial authorities.**
 - (ii) **Section 176 Transfer of functions to other territorial authorities or regional councils.**
 - (iii) **Section 179 Transfer of function to chief executive of Ministry of Primary Industries.**
 - (iv) **Section 182 Change revocation etc of any transfer under Section 179.**

(v) Section 205 Power to fix fees.

The Chief Executive has the power to sub-delegate any of the responsibilities, duties and powers.

8.3 Food Act 2014 - Combined Registration Authority

Record No: R/16/3/3378

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environmental Services and Michael Sarfaiti Environmental Health Manager were in attendance for this item.

Mr Halligan advised that the purpose of the report was to seek support for the creation of a combined registration authority under the Food Act 2014.

Councillors noted that the Food Act 2014 enables Southland District Council to combine with other councils to create a combined registration authority. The “Ease of Doing Business” work currently being undertaken under the Southland Regional Development Strategy has highlighted a desire from industry to see uniformity across councils for regulatory matters. A combined registration authority would meet these expectations.

Resolution

Moved Cr Paterson, seconded Cr Douglas **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Food Act 2014 - Combined Registration Authority” dated 14 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Authorises the Chief Executive to enter into a written agreement to combine with one or more southern territorial authorities for the purpose of performing the function of a registration authority under the Food Act 2014 in the combined district of the territorial authorities that are parties to the agreement.**

8.4 Forecasted Financial Position for the year ending 30 June 2016

Record No: R/16/4/5499

Anne Robson – Chief Financial Officer and Susan McNamara – Management Accountant were in attendance for this item.

Miss McNamara advised that the report was to inform Council of the forecasted changes to the 2015/2016 Long Term Plan budget and to seek approval where necessary for anticipated unbudgeted expenditure included in the forecasts.

Council noted that forecasting the financial position for the year ended 30 June 2016 was intended to provide information at an earlier stage of the year of any changes from what was included in the Long Term Plan.

The budgeted expenditure included in the Long Term Plan for the 2015/2016 year was set nine months before the start of the financial year. Forecasting allows a formal process to communicate to Council and the Executive Leadership Team any known changes.

Officers advised that approval was being also sought for expenditure which has been identified as part of the process which either not been included or amended from the amount included in the Long Term Plan for 2015/2016.

Resolution

Moved Cr Macpherson, seconded Cr Baird **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Forecasted Financial Position for the year ending 30 June 2016” dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Approve the forecasted changes to Council’s year-end financial position as outlined in appendices 3, 4 and 5 attached to the minutes.**

8.5 Update on Te Anau Wastewater Peer Review Process

Record No: R/16/4/5071

Ian Marshall – GM Services and Assets and Ian Evans Strategic Manager Water and Waste were in attendance for this item.

Mr Marshall advised that the report was to provide an update on the progress made to advance the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project given the findings contained in the draft Pattle Delamore Partners peer review and seek a decision as to whether Council wishes to defend the appeal to the Environment Court in regard to the Kepler option.

Council noted that Pattle Delamore Partners (PDP) were engaged to undertake a peer review of the current consented option for the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project. The Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee were briefed on the findings

in the draft PDP report at a two day workshop held on 9 and 10 February where it was agreed that a number of alternatives warranted further desktop investigation to determine if further physical investigation works should be progressed.

Council also noted that the outcome of this further work was presented and made recommendations around the potential alternatives. The report identified treatment at the oxidation ponds with pumping to the Smith block as the most suitable alternative to compare against the consented Kepler option.

Council further noted that the assessments included in this report also need to be considered alongside legal and planning advice which recommends that the Council should not abandon the current Kepler option until it has a similar level of certainty in relation to any alternative option that it may wish to consider.

Officers advised that the need for the Council to indicate to the Environment Court by 27 May 2016 whether it wishes to continue with the consented Kepler option, it is important that the Council make a decision on whether it wishes to defend the appeal. The Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee have recommended that Council should defend the appeal but in parallel enter discussions with the appellants to explore potential areas of agreement. A draft copy of the proposed response to the Environment Court outlining how Council proposes to progress the appeal was attached to the officers report.

Councillors also noted that the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee also want to continue with its consideration of alternative options and are seeking approval for \$50,000 of unbudgeted expenditure to enable this work to continue.

Resolution

Moved Cr Baird, seconded Cr Kremer **recommendations a – c, d with an addition (as indicated), e to g, h (with an addition) and i and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Update on Te Anau Wastewater Peer Review Process” dated 14 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Approves from the district wastewater reserve the \$261,377.82 of unbudgeted expenditure incurred as a result of undertaking the peer review.**
- e) **Determines that it wishes to pursue the Kepler resource consent and therefore will be defending the appeal before the Environment Court if no prior agreement is reached with the appellants.**

- f) Notes the content of the draft Memorandum to the Environment Court and delegates authority to the Chief Executive to finalise the Memorandum, have it filed with the Environment Court and otherwise manage the defence of Council's position through any mediation and/or Environment Court hearing process.
- g) Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to enter into discussions with Fiordland Sewage Options and other appellants to the Kepler resource consent to explore the areas of common interest prior to a formal Environment Court mediation process.
- h) Approves from the district wastewater reserve unbudgeted expenditure of \$50,000 to enable the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee to carry out further investigation of potential alternatives.
- i) Requests that the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee report back to Council by the meeting scheduled for 20 July 2016 with a progress report on the outcomes of its work and a suggested way forward.

8.6 Approval of Unbudgeted Expenditure for the Oban Wastewater Oxidation Pond

Record No: R/16/3/3704

Ian Marshall – GM Services and Assets and Ian Evans Strategic Manager Water and Waste were in attendance for this item.

Mr Marshall advised that the purpose of the report was to seek Council's approval to spend \$55,000 plus GST of unbudgeted expenditure to fund the upgrade aeration equipment for the Oban wastewater oxidation pond.

Councillors noted that due to the influx of cruise ship visitors to Oban has recently caused significant operational problems at the township's wastewater oxidation ponds.

Also noted was that to manage the additional load as a result of high visitors it is proposed to install a new, more efficient aerator on the pond at a cost of \$55,000 plus GST.

Officers advised that the expenditure is required as a result of increasing demand over the holiday period it is appropriate that the project is funded from the development contribution reserve which currently has \$264,045 available.

Resolution

Moved Cr Baird, seconded Cr Keast **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) Receives the report titled "Approval of Unbudgeted Expenditure for the Oban Wastewater Oxidation Pond" dated 19 April 2016.
- b) Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.

- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Approves the request to spend an unbudgeted amount of \$55,000 on the upgrade of the aeration to the Oban wastewater oxidation pond to be funded from Development Contributions.**

8.7 Approval of Unbudgeted Expenditure by the Te Anau Community Board for the Joint Public BBQ Project with the Te Anau Kepler Lions Club

Record No: R/16/3/3585

Ian Marshall – GM Services and Assets was in attendance for this item.

Mr Marshall advised that the purpose of the report was to seek Council's approval to spend \$11,439, excluding GST, unbudgeted expenditure to fund the purchase of a public BBQ unit for joint project with Te Anau Kepler Lions Club.

Councillors noted that the Te Anau Kepler Lions Club have been in discussions with the Te Anau Community Engineer and Venture Southland Community Development Planner to provide another public BBQ at Lions Park. The Te Anau Community Board were advised the total project cost, as per quotes received by the Kepler Lions, to be approx. \$24,000.00 including GST

Mr Marshall also advised that that the Kepler Lions approached the Te Anau Community Board for assistance in funding for the BBQ unit component of this project. The Te Anau Community Board had not budgeted to spend any money on this project.

Councillors also noted that the Te Anau Community Board have requested approval to spend \$11,439, excluding GST, to be funded from the Board's general reserve.

Resolution

Moved Mayor Tong, seconded Cr Kremer **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled "Approval of Unbudgeted Expenditure by the Te Anau Community Board for the Joint Public BBQ Project with the Te Anau Kepler Lions Club" dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or**

further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.

- d) Approves the request of the Te Anau Community Board for approval of unbudgeted amount of \$11,439, excluding GST, to be funded from the Board's general reserve as the Board's share of a joint public BBQ project with the Te Anau Kepler Lions Club.

8.8 Elected Members Remuneration

Record No: R/16/4/4877

Anne Robson – Chief Financial Officer and Sheree Marrah – Finance Manager were in attendance for this item.

Mrs Marrah advised that the purpose of the report was to establish the levels of Elected Members remuneration for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017 in accordance with the requirements of the Remuneration Authority.

Councillors noted that the Remuneration Authority was seeking confirmation on the proposed remuneration for Elected Members for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.

The Remuneration Authority are proposing a base remuneration level increase for inflation, of between 1.5% to 3.0% depending on the Authority's size index. The proposed inflation applied to Council's remuneration is 2.5%.

Councillors noted that the Remuneration Authority also allocates a pool of funds for disbursement to Elected Members for additional duties. This pool has been increased from 150% of the Councillor's base salary to 200% (\$51,455). The Remuneration Authority has also removed the caps on payments to individual councillors for additional duties.

Mrs Marrah also advised that Council are required to endorse the proposed base salaries and advise of the allocation of the pool to the various positions of additional duties to the Remuneration Authority by 16 May 2016. Once the Remuneration Authority has considered information from all councils a formal determination will be issued.

Resolution

Moved Cr Macpherson, seconded Cr Harpur **recommendations a to c, d with an addition (as indicated), e and f and a new g (as indicated) and resolved.**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled "Elected Members Remuneration" dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it**

does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.

- d) **Adopts to recommend Option 1 of this report to the Remuneration Authority for proposed Elected Members remuneration for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017, being:**
- **Accept inflation rate increase from 2015/16 of 2.5% on all remuneration.**
 - **Allocate 150% of the pool for additional responsibilities (rather than the maximum allowed of 200%).**
 - **Allocate the pool for additional responsibilities as follows:**
 - **Deputy Mayor (40%).**
 - **Policy Review Committee Chair (20%).**
 - **Venture Southland Director (15%).**
 - **Activities Performance Audit Committee Chair (25%).**
 - **Available for Councillors contributing to the development of the District Plan (50%).**
- e) **Requests that staff provide the necessary information to the Remuneration Authority by the required date.**
- f) **Requests that staff provide a report for information to all Community Boards of the proposed remuneration for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.**
- g) **Requests that the shortfall in the current budget for the 2016/2017 elected members remuneration be funded from the district operations reserves.**

8.9 Request to Transfer Ownership of the Athol Fire Station Property to the Southern Rural Fire Authority

Record No: R/16/3/4355

Kevin McNaught – Strategic Property Manager was in attendance for this item.

Mr McNaught advised that the purpose of the report was to seek Council approval to the request from the Southern Rural Fire Authority to transfer ownership of the Athol Fire Station property from Council to the Authority.

Council noted that the Southland District Council is the owner of the Athol Fire Station property and since 2003, the Southern Rural Fire Authority has covered the costs for rates, insurance and repairs and proposes more internal renovations.

Mr McNaught further advised that officers have no issue with the request on the proviso that Council has first option to purchase should the property be disposed of in the future. This is the same basis of which the Waikawa Fire Station was transferred in 2007.

Resolution

Moved Cr Douglas, seconded Cr Dobson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Request to Transfer Ownership of the Athol Fire Station Property to the Southern Rural Fire Authority” dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Resolves to transfer the Athol Fire Station property being Lot 1, DP 12002 and contained in CFR SL9A/254 to the Southern Rural Fire Authority for \$1.00.**
- e) **Resolves that the transfer be subject to a condition in the Agreement for Sale and Purchase that should the Southern Rural Fire Authority wish to sell or transfer ownership of the property, it shall be first offered back to Council for \$1.00.**
- f) **Resolves that the Agreement for Sale and Purchase be executed under Council’s seal.**

8.10 Renewal of Lease of Office Space, Council's Otautau Office - Otautau and Districts Charitable Community Trust

Record No: R/16/3/4498

Kevin McNaught – Strategic Property Manager was in attendance for this item.

Mr McNaught advised that the purpose of the report was to approve the renewal of the lease to the Otautau and Districts Community Charitable Trust for offices they use at the Southland District Council Otautau office.

Council noted that the Otautau and Districts Community Charitable Trust lease of office space at Council’s Otautau office expires on 30 April 2016.

Mr McNaught further advised that the lease makes provision for a right of renewal for

a further term of two years from 1 May 2016.

Resolution

Moved Cr Baird, seconded Cr Dobson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Renewal of Lease of Office Space, Council's Otautau Office - Otautau and Districts Charitable Community Trust” dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Determines that the Otautau and Districts Community Charitable Trust be offered a renewal lease of space at the Southland District Council office located at 176 Main Street, Otautau for a term of two years from 1 May 2016 at an annual rental of \$1,250 plus GST.**

8.11 Management Report

Record No: R/16/4/5169

Steve Ruru - Chief Executive Officer was in attendance for this item.

Resolution

Moved Cr Baird, seconded Cr Paterson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Management Report” dated 18 April 2016.**

8.12 Building Consents and Values for February 2016

Record No: R/16/3/3381

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environment Services was in attendance for this item.

Resolution

Moved Cr Harpur, seconded Cr Macpherson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Building Consents and Values for February**

2016" dated 27 April 2016.

8.13 Resource Consents and Other Resource Management Act Items - February 2016

Record No: R/16/3/3297

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environment Services was in attendance for this item.

Resolution

Moved Cr Harpur, seconded Cr Macpherson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled "Resource Consents and Other Resource Management Act Items - February 2016" dated 3 March 2016.**

8.14 Resource Consents and Other Resource Management Act Items - March 2016

Record No: R/16/4/5141

Bruce Halligan – Group Manager Environment Services was in attendance for this item.

Resolution

Moved Cr Harpur, seconded Cr Macpherson **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled "Resource Consents and Other Resource Management Act Items - March 2016" dated 8 April 2016.**

8.15 Venture Southland Business Plan

Record No: R/16/4/5609

Steve Ruru – Chief Executive Officer was in attendance for this item.

Mr Ruru advised that the purpose of the report was to enable Council to consider and provide feedback on the draft 2016/17 Venture Southland Business Plan.

Council noted that each year Venture Southland develops a Business Plan detailing its proposed activities, key performance indicators and budget. The Business Plan is required to be developed in accordance with the Venture Southland Agreement 2014 – 2017 and the Letter of Expectation sent by each Council. The Agreement outlines the information to be included in the Business Plan and the process to be followed as it is developed.

Officers are of the view that the draft Business Plan does not currently meet the requirements as set out in the Venture Southland Agreement and also does not adequately reflect this Council's priorities as detailed in its Letter of Expectation.

Mr Ruru further advised that it is proposed that Venture Southland be asked to revise the draft Business Plan to address the points raised in this report and then bring a revised draft back to Council for its consideration.

Resolution

Moved Cr Dobson, seconded Cr Douglas **recommendations a to c, d with changes (as indicated), e to k and a new l (as indicated) and resolved.**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Venture Southland Business Plan” dated 20 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Asks Venture Southland to revise its draft 2016/17 Business Plan so that it meets the requirements set out in the Venture Southland Agreement 2014 – 2017 and Council Letter of Expectation and provide a further draft to Council for its comment and feedback prior to it being released for public consultation.**
- e) **Asks Venture Southland to provide an allocation of resources against individual projects and activities throughout the Business Plan.**
- f) **Seeks feedback on how Venture Southland would propose reallocating resources and priorities if it does not achieve the level of external funding indicated in the draft Business Plan.**
- g) **Asks Venture Southland to clarify its proposed contribution, including level of resourcing, to the Southland Regional Development Strategy.**
- h) **Seeks clarification of the outputs that Venture Southland proposes delivering against the Community Development Activity for the \$731,484 of Southland District Council funding allocated to this activity.**
- i) **Asks Venture Southland to work with Council officers to agree on priorities to be delivered within the level of resource allocated to the Community Development Activity.**
- j) **Asks Venture Southland to include in the Business Plan a set of financial statements that are compliant with the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 and Generally Accepted Accounting Practice.**
- k) **Asks Venture Southland to revise the Key Performance Indicators so that they have a specific outputs focus.**
- l) Request that the Chief Executive lodge a submission to the Venture Southland consultation process raising the issues outlined in the report of the Southland District Council Chief Executive Officer.**

Reports - Governance

9.1 Vacancy - Colac Bay Community Development Area Subcommittee

Record No: R/16/3/4316

Council noted that there were two vacancies on the Colac Bay Community Development Area Subcommittee which needed confirmation.

Resolution

Moved Cr Dobson, seconded Cr Baird **and resolved:**

That the Council:

- a) **Receives the report titled “Vacancy - Colac Bay Community Development Area Subcommittee” dated 19 April 2016.**
- b) **Determines that this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002.**
- c) **Determines that it has complied with the decision making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information, further assessment of options or further analysis of costs and benefits or advantages and disadvantages prior to making a decision on this matter.**
- d) **Confirms the Colac Bay Community Development Area Subcommittee’s recommendation that Mr Ian Brinsdon and Mr Graeme McKenzie be appointed to fill the vacancies on the Subcommittee.**

The meeting concluded at 4.01pm.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT
RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL
HELD ON WEDNESDAY 27 APRIL 2016.

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....

APPENDIX 1

Southland District Council meeting 27th April

Regan Smith (read by Ruth Shaw on his behalf)

I am really frustrated that Council has not gone and looked at some of the schemes FSO have given as examples that demonstrate the technologies we have included in our options. I have at my own expense, and in my own time, been to Omaha (just north of Auckland) and what I found impressed me no end.

I saw a modified treatment pond which certainly didn't look super expensive to me. I saw drip irrigation above the ground in a eucalyptus plantation and native shrub area, and subsurface drip under a golf course (including fairways and tees) and understood that the neighbour also used the treated effluent for drip irrigation of commercial crops.

When I was there I stood on the top of sand dunes when a strong wind was blowing across the disposal area which is irrigated by sub surface drip. Because there is no aerial irrigation there are no complaints regarding spray drift from the owners of the million dollar homes right next to me. I looked the other way down onto the bright green fairways where John Key plays golf in the summer, and over the fairways to a DOC administered kahikatea forest of significance right next to the golf course. Past the forest is the Whangateau Harbour, a pristine harbour the locals are hell bent on preserving.

I met with the head greenkeeper (and his dog) and he sang the systems praises and wants more subsurface drip of treated effluent for irrigation.

I also met with the WaterCare Operator (use to be Auckland City Council now looks after wastewater projects) who told me the system worked really well and was considered by WaterCare as the best land disposal system they have in the Auckland area.

I came away stunningly impressed with what might be possible for Te Anau and Manapouri. The Kepler option feels like a Model T Ford compared to Omaha which I would compare to a Tesla electric car, or Kepler as an old typewriter compared to the new laptop of Omaha.

Council should ask the operator at the Aluminum Smelter about their award winning 18 year old subsurface irrigation. Council needs to get real and catch up with the modern world and look at the options we have put forward objectively.

All we ask is that Council does its job properly in evaluating the options proposed, in a fair and consistent manner.

APPENDIX 2

SOUTHLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL Meeting 27th April 2016

Ruth Shaw speaking on behalf of Fiordland Sewerage Options Incorporated.

As I understand it there is a formal process prescribed under the Local Government Act, on how Councils should compare options for projects like the Te Anau wastewater project. At the heart of the options selection and criteria for performance evaluation are the 4 well beings (financial, environmental, social and cultural.)

The Local Government Act requires Council to identify all reasonable options to achieve the objective of making a decision, and assess the options in terms of their advantages and disadvantages. The law prescribes the process that Council should follow – and also offers the necessary checks and balances should Council not follow the process in an honest, transparent, robust and defensible manner.

Council and their consultant, PDP, own evaluation of 15 options ranked the Kepler proposal option as nearly the worst at 13th equal of those considered. Using the same Multi-Criteria Analysis – the options being promoted by FSOI ranks top This was presented at the Waitangi Day Public meeting held in Te Anau and has never been refuted or challenged by Council or its representatives.

The FSOI options provide considerable advantages over the Kepler proposal as measured against the 4 well beings. We consider it not unreasonable that Council, as our representatives, at least follow the rules for evaluation of options as prescribed by law; and that they should at the very least employ a robust evaluation process so we can understand why any particular option has been selected as the preferred one.

The Terms of Reference for the Te Anau Wastewater Discharge Project Committee require the Committee to (a) *"ensure that proper risk assessment is performed,"* b) *"ensure all decisions and processes are well documented,"* and c) *"ensure that appropriate quality assurance processes are maintained throughout the process."*

To ignore FSOI options and not evaluate them to the same degree as the Kepler option is both unfair, and contrary to the requirements of the Local Government Act and the Committee's Terms of Reference.

The Kepler option looks exactly the same as the ill-fated Mangawhai scheme – long pipe line, large disposal area, above ground irrigation and huge costs. Let's not repeat these mistakes and instead learn from their misfortune.

What we really want now is to be part of a revised Multi- Criteria Analysis, comparing all options (including the 4 FSOI ones,) involving all key stakeholders, including FSOI and others as appropriate, along with Council and its consultants PDP, to objectively compare all practical options on the same basis. This needs to be undertaken in an inclusive and transparent manner, not just trying to rubber stamp the Kepler option.

In FSOI's view, the relevant consideration in this process is that all information received so far clearly points away from the Kepler proposal, and towards the viable alternatives. Any recommendation by the Committee, or decision by Council to persist in pursuing the Kepler Option, would be inviting a judicial review.



APPENDIX 3

FORECASTED NET EXPENDITURE ADJUSTMENTS BY BUSINESS UNIT

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
<i>District Business Units</i>						
Various Business Units	15,000	Reduction	15,000	Reduction	Reserve	Adjustment to wages
Various Business Units	248,000	Reduction	248,000	Reduction	Internal charge to other business units	Adjustment to wages
Financial Services	102,896	Reduction	143,360	Reduction	Internal charge to other business units	Adjustment to wages, reduction in LINZ, Quotable NZ fees and Insurance offset by additional training, postage and insurance brokerage fees.
Knowledge Management	190,000	Reduction	690,000	Reduction	Loan	Part of Digitisation project to be deferred to 2016/2017.
Information Management	15,000	Additional	88,000	Additional	Internal charge to other business units	Estimate for year of expected software licence fees and maintenance on equipment, where 2015/2016 budget was under estimated. Additional consultant costs to cover vacant positions, offset by adjustment to wages.
Information Management	750,000	Reduction	750,000	Reduction	Loan	Programme of work yet to be finalised which will push the work into future years
Secretarial Services	59,000	Reduction	59,000	Reduction	Internal charge to other business units	Adjustment to wages offset by increased advertising costs
Strategy and Communication	5,000	Additional	5,000	Additional	Internal charge to other business units	New online submission form developed for the Annual Plan
Chief Executive	255,000	Additional	233,351	Additional	Reserves	Adjustment to wages and increase in consultant costs offset by reduction in cell phone charges and legal fees.

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
Around the Mountains Cycle Trail	526,868	Additional	263,154	Reduction	Loan/Reserves	Adjustment to estimated costs of consent in the second round. Previously reduction in expected income offset by budgeted expenditure on stages 8 & 9 not being completed in the current year
Property Sales	63,872	Reduction	63,872	Reduction	Reserves	Sales of land for Greenhills quarry and part of stopped roads
Property Administration	57,000	Additional	57,000	Additional	Reserves	Additional Employees in place
Water Services	55,000	Reduction	87,000	Reduction	Reserve	Adjustment to wages and the cost of the Hansen 8 upgrade project has been split over three business units. The amount charged to this business unit has been completed under budget.
District Water	149,663	Reduction	199,663	Reduction	Loan	Balancing up of projects deferred at a town level along with WAT950 & WAT987 District Monitoring Project deferred to 2016/2017 to enable meters to be installed first.
District Sewerage	962,418	Reduction	962,418	Reduction	Loan	Balancing up of projects deferred at a town level along with CCTV work deferred to 2016/2017 due to unavailability of suitable equipment. Also an allowance for peer review being completed by Pattle Dalmore.
Building Regulation	178,545	Additional	178,545	Additional	Reserve	Reduction in consent income received offset by adjustment in wages
Dog and Animal Control	20,000	Reduction	1,000	Additional	Reserve	Additional income from dog registration offset by previous adjustment to licence fee income reduced due to Council resolution to have fee discounts instead of the responsible owner licence. Also income reduced as free microchipping to continue. Additional costs for pound maintenance as underestimated.
Resource Consent Processing	168,658	Additional	209,542	Additional	Reserve	Additional legal and consultant costs in relation to a consent appeal that is non-

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
						recoverable. along with reduction in consent and compliance monitoring income with reports improving efficiency of visits and level of monitoring required.
Resource Planning/Policy	175,000	Reduction	175,000	Reduction	Reserve	Work on the District Plan not completed during the year due to mediation/appeal process on hold.
Council and Councillors	15,000	Additional	15,000	Additional	Reserve	Payment of communication payment for three years.
Council contributions/Grants	15,000	Additional	15,000	Additional	Reserves	Funding for Pork Pie and Lonely Girl
Roading – District Wide	651,662	Reduction	1,266,637	Reduction	Loan	Lower costs due to tender prices received combined with a low bitumen price. Change in scope/timing of projects is offset by reduced income from NZTA.
Waikaia Forest	10,403	Additional	771,628	Additional	Reserve	Maintenance on tree in river. No harvesting occurring this financial year so no income being received with a small offset from costs reduced to reflect this.
Work Schemes	12,000	Additional	12,000	Additional	Reserve	Net effect of reduced income due to reduced staffing level.
Toilets – Colac Bay Playground	2,000	Additional	2,000	Additional	Reserve	Increase in cleaning and maintenance
Toilets – Colac Bay East End	1,500	Additional	1,500	Additional	Reserve	Increase costs for portaloos hire offset by reduced cleaning costs
Toilets – Garston	45,578	Additional	-	No effect		Amount capital project reduced in October round now reinstated.
Toilets – Lumsden	2,207	Additional	2,207	Additional	Reserve	Budget held in separate business unit for maintenance
Toilets – Nightcaps	8,400	Reduction	8,400	Reduction	Reserve	Budget for maintenance has not been required this year
Toilets – Orepuki Hall	3,050	Additional	3,050	Additional	Reserves	Increase in usage requiring additional supplies and a repaint completed before the 150 th celebrations

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
Library – Riverton	20,000	Reduction	20,000	Reduction	Reserve/ Internal charge to other business units	Adjustment to wages
Toilets – Cosy Nook	1,200	Additional	1,200	Additional	Reserve	Budget needed for maintenance
Stewart Island sewerage	50,000	Additional	50,000	Additional	Development Contributions	Additional project required at ponds. Report included in Council agenda 27 April 2016 for approval.
Toilets Thornbury Playground	1,500	Additional	1,500	Additional	Reserve	To allow for maintenance work to be completed
<i>Local Business Units</i>						
Browns Street Works	1,000	Additional	1,000	Additional	Reserves	Tree trimming required under power lines
Dipton Operating costs	1,000	Additional	1,000	Additional	Reserves	Work begun on Information Board earlier than 2016/2017.
Edendale Hall	477,400	Reduction	477,400	Reduction	Grants/Reserves, Contribution levies	Building project removed as included in 2016/2017 draft annual plan. Offset by repayment of grant received from Transpower.
Garston Playground	3,500	Additional	3,500	Additional	Reserves	Installation of concrete surround at playground agreed with the CDA
Limehills Operating Costs	5,994	Additional	5,994	Additional	Reserves	Restore the Limehills War Memorial from funds received in 2014/2015.
Limehills Stormwater	11,695	Reduction	11,695	Reduction	Reserves	Community Board advised the project to be undertaken in 2016/2017 as drains have been sprayed this year.
Limehills Beautification	1,000	Additional	1,000	Additional	Reserves	Finished a project from 2014/2015 tidying the Community Centre car park area.
Lumsden refuse collection	4,000	Additional	4,000	Additional	Reserves	Additional demand on bins requiring more frequent collection
Lumsden Stormwater	11,000	Reduction	11,000	Reduction	Reserves	Investigation project STO187 completed for less than budget.
Lumsden Cemetery	5,960	Additional	5,960	Additional	Reserves	Drainage required around graves, previously approved by Council
Lumsden Recreation Reserve	1,215	Additional	1,215	Additional	Reserves	Increase in insurance cost for the year.

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
Lumsden Playground	25,625	Additional	25,625	Additional	Reserves	Project for under 5 year old playground and dust suppression budgeted in 2016/2017 started in 2015/2016.
Mossburn Operating Costs	7,000	Additional	7,000	Additional	Reserves	Funds donated to hall for flood repairs and information kiosk
Mossburn Street Works	25,730	Additional	25,730	Additional	Reserves	Asphalt footpath in Devon Street requested by CDA.
Mossburn Playground	3,321	Additional	3,321	Additional	Reserves	Upgrade of border to be funded from funds received in 2014/2015.
Nightcaps Street Works	1,400	Additional	1,400	Additional	Reserves	Additional work required to footpath due to house fire and slip. This is the net additional cost as NZTA is provided a contribution.
Riverton Harbour	2,000	Additional	2,000	Additional	Reserve	Public Liability Insurance required for the first time
Stewart Island Jetties	14,000	Additional	14,000	Additional	Reserve	Funded from a \$20k grant received in 2014/15 from the Stewart Island visitor Levy. Quote from Entech for \$14,000.00.
Recreation Reserve - Glenburn	6,000	Additional	6,000	Additional	Reserve	several small projects being completed, all from arboretum reserves
Winton Memorial Hall	410,000	Additional	410,000	Additional	Reserve	Additional \$385,000 funds for project CC0042 approved by Council on 27 January 2016 per & \$25,000 approved on 9 March 2016

APPENDIX 4

FORECASTED CHANGES TO PROJECTS IN THE 2015/2016 FINANCIAL YEAR

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
<i>District Business Units</i>						
Toilets – Athol	24,483	Reduction	24,483	Reduction	Loan/Reserve	Project completed
Lumsden Sewerage	24,000	Reduction	24,000	Reduction	District Sewerage	WW141 for a new manhole not required as alternative methodologies adopted to repair the wet well.
Ohai/Nightcaps water supply	30,000	Reduction	30,000	Reduction	District Water	WAT344 for bypass tank to clarifier is being deferred to 2018/2019
Stewart Island sewerage	50,000	Additional	50,000	Additional	Development Contributions	Additional project required at ponds. Report included in Council agenda 27 April 2016 for approval.
<i>Local Business Units</i>						
Edendale Hall	477,400	Reduction	477,400	Reduction	Grants/Reserves, Contribution levies	Building project removed as included in 2016/2017 draft annual plan. Offset by repayment of grant received from Transpower.
Limehills Beautification	1,000	Additional	1,000	Additional	Reserves	Finished a project from 2014/2015 tidying the Community Centre car park area.
Lumsden Playground	25,625	Additional	25,625	Additional	Reserves	Project for under 5 year old playground and dust suppression budgeted in 2016/2017 started in 2015/2016.
Manapouri Hall	-	-	27,290	Additional	Reserve	2016/2017 project being completed early, offset by grant income.
Mossburn Street Works	25,730	Additional	25,730	Additional	Reserves	Asphalt footpath in Devon Street requested by CDA.
Nightcaps Hall	-	-	14,666	Additional	Reserve	Interior painting project brought forward to be done this year.
Tuatapere Hall	26,000	Reduction	26,000	Reduction	Reserve	One project was brought forward and done in 14/15
Wallacetown Stormwater	60,000	Reduction	60,000	Reduction	Reserve	Project STO760 - Outfall improvement deleted by the CDA

Business Unit	Net Amount in March round	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Net Amount for the year	Net Cost Reduction or Additional	Funding Source	Summary Reason
Winton – Street works	8,000	Reduction	8,000	Reduction	Reserve	Project deferred as a trial is required to be undertaken of this product to remove moss and lichen before the contractor is engaged to undertake \$10K of work. Trial of approx. \$2K will be undertaken in April 2016. Surplus to be carried forward to 16/17 Project 804A

APPENDIX 5

Forecasted Statement of Comprehensive Revenue and Expense

		10 Year Plan 2015-2016 (\$000)	Amounts Carried Forward from 2014- 2015 (\$000)	Forecast Changes from October 2015 (\$000)	Forecast Changes from February 2016 (\$000)	Forecasted Result for 2015-2016 (\$000)
Revenue						
Rates		42,149	-	-	-	42,149
Other revenue		8,066	-	(654)	218	7,630
Interest and Dividends		425	-	-	-	425
NZTA		14,180	-	(1,544)	(204)	12,432
Grants and Subsidies		4,256	-	(2,619)	(91)	1,546
Other Gains/(Losses)		422	-	-	-	422
Vested Assets		-	-	-	-	-
Development and Financial Contributions		128	52	-	-	180
		69,626	52	(4,816)	(78)	64,784
Expenditure						-
Employee Benefit Expenses		10,767	-	(13)	(357)	10,397
Depreciation and Amortisation	1	21,589	-	-	-	21,589
Finance Costs		20	-	-	-	20
Other Council Expenditure	1	34,751	263	269	851	36,135
		67,127	263	256	493	68,140
OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		2,499	(212)	(5,073)	(571)	(3,356)
Share of Associate Surplus/(Deficit)		-	-	-	-	-
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) BEFORE TAX		2,499	(212)	(5,073)	(571)	(3,356)
Income Tax Benefit		-	-	-	-	-
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) AFTER TAX		2,499	(212)	(5,073)	(571)	(3,356)
Gain/(Loss) on Property, Plant and Equipment Revaluations	1	21,091	-	-	-	21,091
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE REVENUE AND EXPENSE		23,590	(212)	(5,073)	(571)	17,735

The 10 Year Plan for 2015-2016 is the consolidated result of Council, Venture and SIESA

1 No adjustment has been made to the budgeted amount in the 10 Year Plan for depreciation, revaluation of infrastructure assets and revaluation of forestry assets in the forecasting process